

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/645,279	BAKER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mary J. Steelman	2122	

All Participants:

(1) Mary J. Steelman.

(2) David W. Rouille, Esq. Reg. No. 40,150.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 14 October 2004

Time: 11:30 AM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Claims 1 & 17

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Agreement was reached to amend Independent claim 1, by combining limitations of claims 1 & 17. Additionally, in every claim the limitation: 'object oriented software component' is to be amended to recite, 'reusable object oriented software component.'

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

For Huongmin Anthony Nguyen
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)